

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT AREA (SMA) PERMIT ASSESSMENT

I. Part A

	APPLICANT INFORMATION
Applicant: Address:	Phone:
Applicant's Status: (Check one Owner of the Property Lessee of the Property Authorized Agent Contact Person: Phone: Email:	(Holder of at least 75% of the equitable and legal title) Lessee must have an unexpired and recorded lease of five (5) years or more from the date of filing of this application. If not, Owner(s) must provide a Letter of Authorization. Attach Letter of Authorization Address:
(a	PROJECT INFORMATION ttach additional sheets if necessary)
Site Address: State Land Use District: General Plan Designation: Nature of Development:	Tax Map Key: Lot Area: County Zoning:
required for actio	Il Assessment in accordance with HRS Chapter 343 is ns requiring a Shoreline Setback Variance (SSV). Please hing Department for further information.
Valuation of Development:	(Estimate Attached)
Date of Application:	

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT AREA (SMA) PERMIT ASSESSMENT

II. Part B

The petitioner shall be responsible for filing the following required information with the department before an application is considered complete:

- 1. A <u>written</u> description of the proposed project, location and a statement of reasons/justification for project.
- 2. If property abuts a shoreline, a certified shoreline survey conducted by a registered land surveyor within 6 months of an application shall be submitted, when required by the Planning Agency.
- 3. A plot plan of the property, drawn to scale, with all proposed and existing structures and other pertinent information. Also, preliminary building sketch plans are to be submitted.
- 4. Any other plans or information requirements by the Director.

<u>Note</u>: An Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement that has been declared adequate under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) or under Chapter 343, HRS, may constitute a valid filing under this section.

_		
5.	Drainat	Assessment:
IJ.	FIUICUL	ASSESSITIETIL.

a.		cription of the area and environment involved including flora a refeatures;	nd fauna	ı, and
b.	Des	cription of the existing land uses of the project site and surrou	nding are	eas;
C.		cription of how the proposed project will affect the area involve ounding areas. Specifically the assessment should evaluate if		oosal:
	i.	Involves an irrevocable commitment to loss or destruction of any natural or cultural resources, including but not limited to, historic sites, Special Treatment Districts as established by the County of Kauai Comprehensive Zoning ordinance, view planes or scenic corridors as outlined in the Community Development Plans, and	YES	NO

recreation areas and resources:

Discussion:

ii.	Curtails the range of beneficial uses of the environment; Discussion:	YES	NO
iii.	Conflicts with the County's or the State's long-term environmental policies or goals; Discussion:	YES	NO
iv.	Curtails the range of beneficial uses of the environment; Discussion:	YES	NO
V.	Substantially affects the economics or social welfare and activities or the community, County or State; Discussion:	YES	NO
vi.	In itself has no significant adverse effect but cumulatively has considerable effect upon the environment or involves a commitment for larger actions; Discussion:	YES	NO
vii.	Substantially affect a rare threatened, or endangered species of animal or plant, or its habitat; Discussion:	YES	NO

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT AREA (SMA) PERMIT ASSESSMENT

viii.	Detrimentally affects air or water quality or ambient noise levels; or Discussion:	YES	NO
ix.	Affects an environmentally sensitive area, such as flood plain, shoreline, tsunami zone, erosion-prone area, geologically hazardous land, estuary, fresh water or coastal water; Discussion:	YES	NO
х.	May have a major effect on the quality of the environment or affect the economic or social welfare of the area; and Discussion:	YES	NO
xi.	Would possibly be contrary to the policies and guidelines of the Rules and Regulations, the County's General Plan, Development Plans, and Zoning and Subdivision Ordinances. Discussion:	YES	NO
conta	uation of the proposed development relative to the objective a nined in Chapter 205A, HRS; and Section 3.0 of the Special N (SMA) Rules and Regulations: (complete following questionn	/lanagen	
	ective ide coastal recreation opportunities accessible to the public.		

Check either "Yes" or "No" for each of the following questions. If your answer below is "**Yes**" or "**No**" it is necessary to elaborate by providing comments in the "Discussion" section below the question.

RECREATIONAL RESOURCES:

d.

1.	Will the proposed development adversely affect coastal resources uniquely suited for recreational activities that cannot be provided in other areas?	YES	NO
	Discussion:		
2.	Will the project require replacement of coastal resources having significant recreational value, including but not limited to surfing sites, sandy beaches and fishing areas, when such resources will be unavoidably damaged by the proposed development; or requiring reasonable monetary compensation to the State for recreation when replacement is not feasible or desirable? Discussion:	YES	NO
3.	Is the project site near a State or County Park? Discussion:	YES	NO
4.	Will the proposed development affect an existing public access to or along the shoreline? Discussion:	YES	NO
5.	Will the proposed development provide public access to and/or along the shoreline?	YES	NO
	Discussion:		

6.	Will the proposed development encourage expanded recreational use of County, State, or federally owned or controlled shoreline lands and waters having recreational value? Discussion:	YES	NO
7.	Will the development generate point or non-point sources of pollution that will affect recreation value of coastal area? Discussion:	YES	NO
HISTORICA RESOURCE			
	Yes" or "No" for each of the following questions. If your answer below is " Y ssary to elaborate by providing comments in the "Discussion" section below		
1.	Is the project site within a Federal, State and/or County designated historical/cultural district? Discussion:	YES	NO
2.	Is the project site listed on or nominated to the Hawaii or National Register of Historic Places? Discussion:	YES	NO
3.	Does the project site include land(s) which have not been previously surveyed by an archaeologist?	YES	NO

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT AREA (SMA) PERMIT ASSESSMENT

	Discussion:		
4.	If an archeological survey has been conducted for the project site, has the survey been submitted to the State Historic Preservation Office for review and recommendations? Discussion:	YES	NO
5.	Has any site survey revealed any information on historic or archaeological resources? (Please provide a copy or reference of survey) Discussion:	YES	NO
6.	Is the project site within or near a Hawaiian fishpond? Discussion:	YES	NO
7.	Is the project located within or near a historic settlement area? (Cemeteries, burials, heiaus, etc.) Discussion:	YES	NO
SCENIC & OPEN SPACE Protect, preserve, and where desirable, restore or improve the quality of coastal scenic and open space resources.			

Check either "Yes" or "No" for each of the following questions. If your answer below is "**Yes**" or "**No**" it is necessary to elaborate by providing comments in the "Discussion" section below the question.

1.	Does the project site abut or affect a valued scenic resources or landmark within the SMA?	YES	NO
	Discussion:		
2.	Does the proposed development affect existing shoreline open space and scenic resources? Discussion:	YES	NO
3.	Does the proposed development involve alteration to natural landforms and existing public views to and along the shoreline? Discussion:	YES	NO 🗆
4.	Is the project compatible with the visual environment? Discussion:	YES	NO
5.	Does the proposed action involve the construction of structures visible between the nearest coastal roadway and the shoreline? Discussion:	YES	NO 🗌
6.	Is the project site within the Shoreline Setback Area (20 or 40 feet inland from the shoreline)? Discussion:	YES	NO 🗍

ECOSYSTE	COSYSTEMS: Objective Protect valuable coastal ecosystems from disruption and minimize adverse impacts on all coastal ecosystems.				
	Yes" or "No" for each of the following questions. If your answer below is "ssary to elaborate by providing comments in the "Discussion" section belo				
1.	Is the project site a habitat for endangered species of flora and fauna? Discussion:	YES	NO 🗌		
2.	Will the proposed development adversely affect valuable coastal	YES	NO		
۷.	ecosystems of significant biological or economic importance? Discussion:				
3.	Will the proposed involve disruption or degradation of coastal water ecosystems through stream diversions, channelization, and similar land and water uses?	YES	NO		
	Discussion:				
4.	Will the proposed development include the construction of special waste treatment facilities, such as injection wells, discharge pipes, septic tank systems or cesspools? Discussion:	YES	NO		
5.	Is there a wetland on the project site? Discussion:	YES	NO 🗌		

6.	Is the project site situated in or abutting a Natural Area Reserve or Wildlife Refuge or Sanctuary? Discussion:	YES	NO
ECONOMIC USES:	Objective Provide public or private facilities and improvements important to the economy in suitable locations.	he State	e's
	Yes" or "No" for each of the following questions. If your answer below is "Yes ssary to elaborate by providing comments in the "Discussion" section below		
1.	Does the project involve a harbor or port? Discussion:	YES	NO 🗌
2.	Is the proposed development related to or near to an existing major hotel, multi-family, or condominium project? Discussion:	YES	NO
3.	Does the project site include agricultural lands designated for such use? Discussion:	YES	NO
4.	Does the proposed development relate to commercial fishing or seafood production? Discussion:	YES	NO

5.	Does the proposed development relate to commercial fishing or seafood production? Discussion:	YES	NO
COASTAL HAZARDS:	Objective Reduce hazard to life and property from tsunami, storm waves, str erosion, and subsidence.	eam floo	oding,
	Yes" or "No" for each of the following questions. If your answer below is " Ye ssary to elaborate by providing comments in the "Discussion" section below		
1.	Is the project site within a potential tsunami inundated area as depicted on the National Flood Insurance Rate maps (FIRM)? Discussion:	YES	NO 🗌
2.	Is the project site within a potential flood inundation area according to a FIRM? Discussion:	YES	NO
3.	Does the project comply with the requirements of the Federal Flood Insurance Program? Discussion:	YES	NO
4.	Has the project site or nearby shoreline areas experienced shoreline erosion? Discussion:	YES	NO

5.		diate vi	awalls/revetments/etc. been constructed or exist in the cinity?	YES	NO	
PROJECT ASSESSM						
	e.	propo	ation of the impacts which cannot be avoided and mitigating sed to minimize that impact:	measure	! S	
	f.	Evaluation of the proposed development relative to Section 4.0 of the SMA Rules and Regulations in accordance with the following aspects: i. Substantial adverse environmental or ecological effects; Discussion:				
		ii.	Consistency or compliance of the proposed development regoals and objectives of Chapter 205A, HRS; and Section 3 Rules and Regulations; and Discussion:	elative to .0 of the	the SMA	
		iii.	Consistency or compliance of the proposed development re County General Plan, Development Plan, and Zoning Ordin		the	
			Discussion:			
[name],	[title]		 	-		